Muz 34]

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

1907

File No. 165

Serial Number 2-34

SUBJECT.

Complaints against the Archa Parpathegar of the Sri Champakadha-maswamy temple at Bannerigatta, Anekal Taluk-

---

Later papers
674 A of 09.

G.R.S. 11] 1909.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mysore)

NOTES.

File No. 165-07

FILE HEADING.

Complaints against the Archak and Karp-gar of the Sri Champakadhamaswamy temple at Bannerghatta

From

Ranga Rau, Pensioned Sheristedar of Nulbagal Tk.

No. Petition Dated 1-10-1907 Received

Diary No. 1543	Enclos. —	Spare Copies —	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Complains that he visited the temple on the 23d Sept. 1907, that (2nd) neither the Archak nor the Karpugar was present, that Hamas were demanded for a "Mangalarati" and that it was very difficult to see God and offer Sevartha and prays that all facilities might be afforded for the convenience of the pilgrims resorting thereto

NOTES AND ORDERS.

(8.2) To, the Dy Commr. Bangalore
memo no 2743 Dt 12-12-07.
forward Sl 1 for report.

(8.3) From, the Same officer,
Letter no. 111 Dt 24-4-09

NOTES—First sheet

GPB—30,000—3-4 07

Mungnai File No. 165 of 1907

Serial No.	
	Reports on the subject
Flags Y & X)	The Archak and Canpattegar have given statements to say that on that day, they attended the Munsiff's Court at Bangalore and that they left the place without obtaining the permission of the local authorities. The Court Summons has been produced in support of their contention. The Canpattegar Venkappa is the Ist Defdt. The date of hearing was on the 28th August 1907.
Flag W)	The Amildar reports that he has warned these servants.
Flag V)	The Sub Divisional officer observes that they should have obtained permission beforehand and made proper arrangements for the conduct of service in their absence and that no documentary evidence has been produced to show that both of them attended the court on 23rd September 1907 and their contention is not tenable. He recommends that a fine of a Rupee may be imposed on each of the servants and adds that the Canpattegar being ~~incapacitated~~ incapacitated for work on account of old age, he has directed that some other competent

GPb-50,000—15-5-05

Revenue Secretariat. | Munsf. File No 165 of 1907

Serial No.

person should be appointed in his stead the Dy. Commissioner merely reports the foregoing points.

Submitted for orders

AV 7/5/09

7

4/5/09 Mr. Secretary's time of his machine breaking.

8/5/09

Mr

Dft submitted

AV 14/9/09 Mr

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

Mzs F 165-07-2
Sq-99 SR

Muz.3]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 2743 Enel

The accompanying Petition No 1543

dated September received at this
Office from Pensioned Sheristedar
Ranga Rao, of Mulbagal
complaining of certain
irregularities in the temple
of Sri Champakadhamaswami
at Bamier Ghatta, Anekal
Taluk

12-07

is forwarded, ~~together with its~~
~~enclosures~~, to the

Deputy Commissioner
~~Bangalore~~ District for favor
of report.

By Order.

Draft-

11/12/07

Secretary,
Muzrai Department.

BANGALORE,
12-12-1907

11/12/07

Endlt to the petn.
2744

GP—1500—20 10 07

D 1391

190

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
General Revenue Department

Dated 24th April 1909

Despatched 26th

Received

General No.

Mbl. No. 111

Enclosure No. File

Mysore Secretary's office
Memo No. 2743 of 12-12-07

Recommends the levy
of a fee of Re one each to
Archak and Parhathegar
of the temple of Sri Chennaka
Kadhanaswami at
Channapatna for absenting
themselves without permission
and further reports that Parhathe
gar being old man and
unfit to work fetches are being
taken to afford another man.

Refer
A/c 3
27-4-09

Office of the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

Dated Bangalore, 26th April 1909.

From

B. J. Kumaraswamy Naik Esquire B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

To

K. S. Chandrasekhara Aiyar Esquire B.A.,B.L.,

Secy.to the Govt.of H.H.the Maharaja of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments, Muzrai Branch,Bangalore.

S i r,

With reference to your Official Memo No.2743 dated the 12th December 1907, forwarding for report, the petition of Ranga Rao,in re the irregularities in the temple of Sri Chempakadhamaswami at Bannerghatta in the Anekal Taluk, I have the honor to forward herewith correspondence ending with the Bangalore Sub-Division Officer's Yadi No.1114 dated the 29th March 1909 and to state that it is seen therefrom that both the Archak and Parapathegar absented themselves without permission on the 20th March 1907 and they have failed to produce any documentary evidence for having attended the Court as alleged by them. The Sub-Division Officer further states that even granting that they did attend the Court on the day in question, they ought to have left the place on obtaining permission from the Taluk Authorities and recommends the levy of a fine of one rupee each.

The Assistant Commissioner further reports that the Parpathegar being an old man is unfit for work and steps are being taken to appoint another man for the place.

I have the honor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

R Ramaswamy Rao

FOR DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,

Bangalore District.

B.N.S.

G.R.S. 29A]

190 .

From

Secy. to the Govt. of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Genl. and Rev. Dept.

To

The Secy to Govt

Dated Bangalore Dist

Despatched 20th May 1909

Received

General No. 1946

No. Genl 165-09-4

Enclosure No. 1, 1

Adverting to his letter No 111 dated 24th April 1909 reporting on the petition of Ranga Rau, Sheristedar of Mulbagal Tk. Complaining against the Archak and Sarpathegar of Sri Champakadhama Swamy temple at Banne ghatta, has the honour to state that it is

1. Can dispose of the matter himself

2) The Vernacular records are hereinafter referred.

Beg on dem

18/1/09

Dy Secretary

bl 15/5/09